

**Minutes for meetings of the Senate  
California State University Channel Islands Student Government**

A meeting of the Senate will be held on Wednesday, April 29, 2009 at 7:00 p.m. at The John Spoor Broome Library at One University Drive, Camarillo, CA 93012 to consider and act upon the following matters:

- 1) Call to Order at 7:01 p.m.
- 2) Attendance
  - a. Members present: Alexandra Mitchell, Dustin Erickson, Cassandra Silic, Adriana Franco, Charmaine Ibarra, April Burger, Tamara Escobar, Sarah Mahon, Sandra Perez, Lauren Pollack, Katelyn Rauch, Erica Roundy, Kevin Schallert and Douglas Whitesell.
  - b. ASI Interns Present: Christine Wamba and Jasmine Garcia
- 3) Approval of the minutes
  - a. Minutes for Wednesday, April 15, 2009 were approved 7-0-0.
  - b. Minutes for Wednesday, April 22, 2009 were approved 7-0-0.
    - i. Approved amendment per Douglas Whitesell.
- 4) Public Forum
- 5) Special Presentations
- 6) Reports
  - a. Because there were several items on the agenda as well as the Student Leadership Awards, all reports were emailed to Director of Operations, Cassandra Silic prior to 7:00 p.m. on Wednesday, April 29, 2009.
  - b. President-Alexandra Mitchell
    - i. The student leader forum for the ASI Executive Director Candidate is today.
    - ii. ASI logo policy: Chelsee Bente and I are presenting some final pieces of the graphic standards manual for the ASI logo.
    - iii. The Strategic Budget Committee met this week and finalized priorities of some one-time requests from different areas of campus.
  - c. Senator-April Burger
    - i. Housing Update
      1. Sarah Mahon and I met with Cindy Derrico. The Meeting was originally scheduled for Thursday but was postponed for Friday due to Maximus set-up. Cindy notified us that board rates were decreased and room rates were increased for next year. There has been a hold put on the next phase of housing until

enrollment cap lifts; potentially no sooner than 2012-2013. There will most likely only be eighty extra beds for Santa Cruz (triple rooms); and most likely no double beds for Anacapa Village.

- ii. Smoking Committee
  - 1. There needs to be faculty and employee union approval of the smoking policy. After union's approval it moves on to the president's cabinet for approval. A map that highlights all the areas where it is 50ft from a building is available online on the school's website. Currently, smoking parameters is considered a statement not a policy.
- iii. CI Traditions and Pride Committee
  - 1. A meeting request will be sent out this week. I intend on inviting more students to the next meeting. In addition, the minutes from the previous meeting will be emailed as well.
- iv. Commencement Committee
  - 1. The committee met last Thursday, there are no further updates at this time. A follow up meeting will be held tomorrow.
- d. Senator-Tamara Escobar
  - i. The new parking citation system goes live on Friday.
- e. Senator-Sarah Mahon
  - i. Maximus looked great. Congratulations to the winners and Sandra and Tamara for planning the event.
  - ii. Housing Update
    - 1. Refer to April Burger's report.
  - iii. The New Student and Transition Orientation Committee (NSTOC) will be meeting on Monday.
  - iv. The Smoking Committee will be meeting on Friday, May 8.
  - v. If there are any suggestions that you would like me to bring to NSTOC or the Smoking Committee, please notify me.
- f. Senator-Lauren Pollack
  - i. Dean of the Library Search Committee has finalized the schedule for when the candidates will be on campus. The lunch that I previously talked about will be held at the Broome Library during the following times:
    - 1. Friday, May 1, 2009 from 11:30 a.m. - 12:45 p.m.
    - 2. Wednesday, May 6, 2009 from 11:30 a.m.- 12:45 p.m

- ii. I will be sending out a meeting request for this so if you could please respond that would be much appreciated. The dress is business casual.
  - iii. Maximus looked great. Thank you to all of those that contributed to the event.
  - iv. El Sol de la Noche will be held next Tuesday night from 4:30 - 7:30 in the E1 lounge in Santa Cruz Village.
- g. Senator-Katelyn Rauch
  - i. The Youth Empowerment Summit this past Friday, April 24<sup>th</sup>, led by the Young Leader's Society, was a very rewarding experience. Thank you to Michael Williams for giving me the opportunity to be involved.
  - ii. Maximus was beautiful. Thank you to Sandy and Tamara for all the long hours you put into making it a success.
  - iii. I have reviewed the Student Government by-laws and Student Code of Conduct in preparation for this Sunday's, May 3<sup>rd</sup>, tentative Internal Affairs Committee (IAC) meeting to brainstorm potential amendments to our governing documents. All members of the Senate are encouraged to attend this fun-filled event! If you are interested, please email me so we can discuss a definite (potential) time to meet.
  - iv. CSSA is meeting again the weekend of May 8<sup>th</sup>, 2009, at which I will be chairing the University Affairs Committee of CSSA. The official agenda for this meeting will be posted on-line by the end of the week.
- h. Senator-Erica Roundy
  - i. The Food Services Committee will hold its next meeting on Thursday, April 30 at 9am. I will update Student Government next week with what is discussed.
  - ii. The Sustainability Board is up in the Islands Café will highlight sustainable practices that the Cafe is doing as well as other things.
  - iii. The Career Center will be hosting the Honors Convocation this Friday, May 1st at 6:30pm at the Broome Library.
  - iv. Congratulations to Sandy and Tamara for a beautiful Maximus ceremony!
- i. Senator-Douglas Whitesell
  - i. Thank you to everyone who made Maximus a success, and thank you for granting your newest member a public speaking opportunity.
  - ii. Technology Advisory Committee Meeting
    - 1. This week, TAC discussed several issues of importance to the students. Portal Junior will be live on August 10, 2009. For some

time, there has been discussion about outsourcing student e-mail services to Microsoft or Google. Currently, Dolphin Mail is run "in house". Outsourcing the mail service could save ITS money, and also provide a better level of service than the current system. This is in the very early inquiry phase. Key concerns include security and accessibility. The Web Site User Survey results should be available soon. Preliminary reports indicate that current students and staff are most concerned about content on the CSUCI Web presence as a whole being up-to-date and accurate. The campus currently runs Blackboard Version 8. The vendor has released Blackboard Version 9, but there is no major rush to upgrade. Such an upgrade would likely occur next summer.

- iii. A draft system-wide Responsible Use Policy is available at the Chancellor's office Web site. The implementation of this policy will have direct effects on students and will ensure our campus's safety and security.
  - iv. By-laws, Gloria Romero Open Meetings Act and Parliamentary Study
    - 1. A good portion of my Senate office time for last week and this week has been spent on getting up to speed on our organization's bylaws, governing documents, and rules of order. I believe that, for next year, this body should spend some time ensuring compliance with, and understanding of, these documents. Compliance with Romero is not only required, but also essential to fairly representing our students, and compliance with rules of order ensures that our deliberations are conducted fairly and expeditiously.
  - v. Alcohol Policy Inquiry
    - 1. At the last meeting of the Senate (April 22, 2009), we discussed an incident involving President-elect Kevin Schallert at the California Higher Education Student Summit (CHESS). On the following day, I read the latest available version of the Policy on Alcohol at CSUCI from the CSU Channel Islands Administrative Policy Manual.<sup>1</sup> Policy SA.03.003 applies to all CSUCI students, faculty, staff, tenants, visitors, on- and off-campus organizations,
-

and events. The policy is generally very clear, however, I found one provision that left a question in my mind:

- a. "Any sale, furnishing, use or consumption of an alcoholic beverage, at any off-campus event sponsored by a registered/recognized student club or organization without prior approval by the President or designee is prohibited."
2. I am concerned that this policy, if interpreted in the broadest manner possible, could ban any and all consumption of alcoholic beverages—even by persons normally eligible to consume alcohol under the laws of the Federal government and State of California—on all school events unless specifically authorized by the President or the President's designee. Acting on my own initiative, and in the interest of my constituency, I submitted a written request for interpretation and clarification to the Office of the Vice President for Student Affairs, with the following question:
  - a. "Notwithstanding any provision of Federal, State or local laws, or other University policies, does this policy prohibit members of a student organization who would otherwise be eligible to consume alcoholic beverages from doing so while traveling on events funded or otherwise supported by the University, if those individuals purchase the beverages and said beverages are consumed only by those individuals?"
3. I ask for clarification simply so that I am fully aware of what is required of me as a student and student leader. The intent of the question raised is merely to clarify the University's policy on alcohol and enhance the student body's awareness of the policies it is subject to. At present, I am still waiting for an official reply from Dr. Sawyer's office. I have been in contact with Toni Rice, Special Assistant to the Vice President, to clarify the question I raised, and hope to receive an answer in time for next week's (May 6) meeting.

7) Report Questions

8) Action Items

- a. Approval: Firearms Safety Club Funds Request (1), in the amount of \$352.00

- i. Kevin Schallert
        - 1. Motion: move to approve the Firearms Safety Club Funds Request in the amount of \$352.00
        - 2. Second-Lauren Pollack
        - 3. Kevin Schallert
          - a. Pass by acclamation.
    - b. Approval: Firearms Safety Club Funds Request (2), in the amount of \$270.00
      - i. Douglas Whitesell
        - 1. Motion: move to approve the Firearms Safety Club Funds Request in the amount of \$270.00
          - a. Seconded-Sarah Mahon
          - b. Erica Roundy
            - i. I am hesitant to fund this because it is controversial. This should be a sports club.
          - c. Christine Thompson
            - i. They cannot become a sports club just yet.
          - d. Motion passes-5-1-2
- 9) Discussion Items
  - a. Discussion: Campus Crusades for Christ Club Funds Request in the amount of \$1,500.00
    - i. Erica Roundy
      - 1. There are 25 members of this organization; the amount requested seems like too much for the number of members in that organization.
    - ii. Christine Thompson
      - 1. It is for fundraising.
    - iii. Kevin Schallert
      - 1. Are these t-shirts for the national organization or for Channel Islands?
    - iv. Christine Thompsons
      - 1. These are for Channel Islands.
    - v. Douglas Whitesell
      - 1. For clarity: Student Government is subsidizing the fundraising?
    - vi. Kevin Schallert
      - 1. Fundraising is the norm in other universities; it promotes entrepreneurship.
  - b. Discussion: Judiciary Procedures per Senator Lauren Pollack's request.

- i. Kevin Schallert
  - 1. Will this be sent into IAC this weekend?
- ii. Lauren Pollack
  - 1. I wanted to make amendments for approval.
- iii. Katelyn Rauch
  - 1. Is this appropriate?
- iv. Dustin Erickson
  - 1. We can make a formal amendment.
- v. Kevin Schallert
  - 1. Per parliamentary procedure and Gloria Romero, when something is in discussion for an amendment, the public needs time to review that amendment.
- vi. Dustin Erickson
  - 1. I think we should still send it IAC this weekend.
- c. Discussion: Senator Grievance, members of the Senate request to discuss the recent actions of a fellow senator, Kevin Schallert
  - i. Senators, Sarah Mahon, Katelyn Rauch and Lauren Pollack each prepared and read her own written statement regarding this action item. The statements are as follow:
    - 1. Sarah Mahon
      - a. "Approximately one hour after Student Government's last Senate meeting, the night of April 22, 2009, Katelyn Rauch and myself went to the grocery store, Von's, off of Arneil. The nature and content of our Senate meeting regarding integrity and underage drinking carried forward into our grocery shopping. We discussed our continued concerns about Kevin Schallert's integrity, but were supportive and appreciative of his apologies. Our last stop in the grocery store was the dairy aisle. Katelyn turned around, because of the noise from people behind her, and saw President-elect Kevin Schallert, Senator-elect Jen O'Neal, Nick DeRoulac, Taylor Jonasson, and Erin Ross standing together, talking, and looking in the same direction of the same items in the alcohol aisle. She turned around to tell me, but I was already turning to look. By the time Katelyn turned back around to look at them, Kevin Schallert and Jen O'Neil were walking

quickly out of the aisle and the other three people, Taylor Jonasson, Nick DeRoulac, and Erin Ross, were looking at Katelyn and I. Katelyn and I walked to the front of Vons to check out, there was only one check out stand open at the time. Kevin and Jen walked up behind us, and then Taylor, Nick, and Erin walked up behind them. Kevin and Jen said hi to Katelyn and I and Jen proceeded to tell us that it was going to be her birthday in two hours and Kevin said he was buying a pizza, insinuating that they were going to celebrate with pizza. Kevin and Jen turned around to Taylor, Nick, and Erin and acted surprised to see them there (Taylor, Nick, and Erin were holding a handle of Bacardi, a mini keg, and a bottle of some type of Coca Cola, and lime). Another check out stand opened up two aisles to the right of where Katelyn and I were standing and the five of them moved to that aisle. As Kevin and Jen were checking out, the other three invited Kevin and Jen to hang out, to which Kevin responded he had a paper to write and a lot of homework but wished them a good night and that they would all talk tomorrow. The point of, we are all going our separate ways, and, it was such a surprise to see you guys here (from Kevin and Jen to Taylor, Nick, and Erin), was emphasized and stated loud and clear by the five of them. This incident proved to me that our discussion about integrity and previous Senate meeting in which Kevin formally apologized for his actions at CHESS (all of which can be found in April 22, 2009's meeting minutes) were defunct. My understanding of last week's meeting was that each of us, myself included, have been in some violation of the University Policies, the Student Code of Conduct, or Student Government By-laws at some point in this past year, but that none of us could change the past. So my understanding was that we all intended to move forward in upholding the highest integrity. By the actions and words of Kevin Schallert

and Jen O'Neal that same night, I felt that this already showed a break in integrity.”

2. Katelyn Rauch

a. On Sunday night, April 26<sup>th</sup>, Kevin, through Facebook chat, requested to meet with me individually. As he was asking me to meet with him he said, “I will say; however, that if you choose not to meet with me, I will bring that up at the meeting as a concern I have..... to which I replied:

i. 11:41pm Katelyn:

1. “Before that last comment, I felt comfortable meeting with you, but now I don't. I don't feel like anyone should be subject to blackmail, as that is the way it has come across.”Kevin responded with:

ii. 11:46pmKevin

1. “I apologize, I fear that the intent of my message was lost in the type. It is a communication problem with written communication. I would never try to blackmail anyone. I firmly believe it to be unethical and inappropriate. I simply attempt to be candid in my remarks.”

b. I met with Kevin Schallert on Monday, April 27, 2009, not out of fear but to discuss these events and possible appropriate actions and what this might mean for the next Student Government. Kevin's and Jen's actions last Wednesday night displayed a lack of integrity on a value basis, they did not break any policies; however, this conversation is where my grave concern for the reputation of CSUCI's Student Government began. As Kevin said he will state when he has the opportunity to speak, he will not abstain from drinking underage with the exception that he will not consume alcohol while he is performing Presidential duties. Kevin then described an example to help me understand. His example was that President Bush is in his Presidential role when he is

attending a State dinner at the White House, but when he is at his home ranch in Texas, he is just a man who also happens to be the President. When he is at his home, it is ok for him to say the "F" word, but that it is not ok when he is in his Presidential role. My response was, "If President Bush shoots up crack cocaine while he is at his home in Texas, it is not ok. No matter where he is, it is still illegal to shoot up crack cocaine, just like it is illegal for a person under the age of 21 in the state of California to consume alcohol." Kevin brought to my attention that 84% of college aged kids will consume alcohol under age and that he will drink responsibly. The Student Body President of CSUCI is not a student who just happens to be the President. This person is supposed to be the epitome of the integrity that we strive for at Channel Islands. It is a full time position, 24 hours a day, seven days a week. It is not a mask to be taken off. I want to stress again that none of us around the table can say we have not in some fashion broken University Policies, the Student Code of Conduct, or Student Government By-laws in this past year. I want to make it very clear that I have consumed alcohol under age in this past year. We all have skeletons in our closets; however, there was a point of forgiveness at last week's senate meeting, April 22, 2009. At this point of forgiveness, we all decided and agreed to move on and move forward. After this point of forgiveness, Kevin stated he will not stop consuming alcohol under age. This puts Student Government in a new position. We must now decide, as a unified body, whether we, and future governing bodies, support and knowingly condone underage drinking. I personally will not and cannot support such illegal activities. As Kevin also brought up, each of us violates the law by J-walking; however, are we comparing the same thing? I do not believe so. For the future credibility of our organization and the reputation of Cal State Channel Islands, I want to see

Kevin sign a contract stating he will not consume alcohol until he reaches the age of 21. If he does not, I cannot knowingly and willingly support Kevin Schallert as President-elect or the Student Government body that chooses to knowingly condone under age drinking.

3. Lauren Pollack

- a. "As I stated in the previous meeting in the minutes in section 9.b.6.a and I quote "thank you for apologizing. I feel that you did learn your lesson. I do not feel that you should resign from the position because I feel that you are a good senator." I made this statement because I felt your remorse and felt that you really did make a mistake and learned from it. However, in light of previous incidents, I feel that your apology was not remorseful; in fact, it was quite disingenuous! In some ways I feel that you have the ability to be truly an amazing leader however the way you have presented yourself over the past week has made me rethink this position. In no way do I feel that I am perfect or claim to be an angel; I have made my share of mistakes in the past, but I accepted responsibility and learned from them. Last Wednesday I realized how every action and every behavior is looked on in this leadership position as well as in future leadership positions. At last Wednesday's meeting, 4.22.09, it was evident that clear expectations of integrity and honor were only established and agreed upon for all of us. Because of this recommitment to our honor code, I have reevaluated everything I have said and done in the past that might not be seen as value based. With that in mind, I made a plan to govern behavior based on the highest expectations that student governments bylaws, student code of conduct, and mission statement have established. In light of recent events, policy cannot determine values, intentionality, or integrity, only we can set the standard of appropriate behavior. There is countless case law of guilty offenders who have "gotten off" or received "suspended" sentences, not because

they were innocent, on the contrary; they got off on a technicality or because they had a better attorney. Although guilty, they were able to walk free. That is our system of democracy and I stand by it; but is it not true that we should hold our leaders to a much higher morale and ethical standard? If not us who; if not now...when? Let's not just speak about the specifics of recent events but instead, let's discuss how you decided, as a student leader, to approach these events. As a matter of record, I am not personally afraid of you, although intimidation appears to be one of your most useful tools. The other night you tried to intimidate me with blackmail, threatening comments, and overall manipulation because your integrity and ability to lead has been questioned. But as a CI student senator and president elect your methods of threatening to blackmail and intimidate certainly do not measure up as the student who should lead CI in to the future. That's just plain thug mentality! If you deal with your fellow student senators in such a manor how will you interact with other members of our academic community. It is a frightening thought that you could possibly represent the student body of CSU Channel Islands in the future. When we spoke on Monday evening, 4.27.09 it was apparent that you felt threatened by some of my actions however I do not feel that at that point I had taken any action. You threatened to turn the situation around on me when I have nothing to do with it. I felt that you were trying to blackmail me when you threatened to use my past against me because of your behavior. I was hurt that you felt the need to resort to such harsh and uncollegiate measures. Frankly, I never would have expected this type of nasty behavior from anyone, let alone you. We must ask ourselves as a student elected senate; do we really want someone representing our students who lead by intimidation when things do not go their way? During our phone conversation you stated that you would not

sign anything stating that you would refrain from unlawful activity, specifically underage drinking. You went as far as to say "President Rush condones this behavior by accepting to work with you on a professional level." This is a misrepresentation of our organization, our university and above all our President, Dr. Richard R. Rush. Student Government is governed by our bylaws, student code of conduct, and mission statement. As an organization by and for the students at CI we adhere to our student code of conduct which clearly states in section F. 3 a. that we actively execute a code of conduct at all times by refraining from and eliminating harmful gossip, unlawful behavior, and obscenities. How can any leader of an organization effectively and efficiently lead others when they deliberately chose to break the rules, intimidate those they work with and threaten the very existence of the body which they have sworn to serve? Therefore my recommendation is that you either sign a document stating you will refrain from drinking (i.e. until you are of legal age in California) as well as recommit yourself to the values and integrity of this organization or I ask that you resign from your current senate position and as the 2009-2010 President elect. "

ii. All other statements made during the meeting were not written or prepared.

1. Douglas Whitesell

- a. I am displeased with how the proceedings are being handled.
- b. I feel that it would be more appropriate for Senate to take formal action such as censure.

2. Kevin Schallert

- a. I am very concerned with the direction that this is taking. I have pledged to be honest with students. I feel that this is being blown out of proportion. We are spending too much energy on this and that it could inhibit our ability to serve the student body.

Cassandra Silic 5/1/09 9:10 AM

**Comment:** Need to obtain recording device in order to fill in what was said by KS here.

- b. I apologize if my conversations with Katelyn and Lauren appeared as black mail.
  - c. I am concerned where this is going. I have a concern with the leadership in this group; reading prepared statements. The individuals with prepared statements did not consult with me prior to preparing these statements. One should communicate with the person that he/she has issues with. When someone's integrity is being attacked, that person has no choice but to defend themselves.
  - d. Last Wednesday I apologized and Senate took no action. My holding the beer was wrong...
3. Dustin Erickson
- a. Per RONR 43 (381:1-6) we cannot reflect on any past action.
  - b. RONR 43 (381:1-6)  
— Rules governing debate: Refraining from speaking adversely on a prior action not pending, whose paragraph reads—  
"In debate, a member cannot reflect adversely on any prior act of the society that is not then pending, unless a motion to reconsider, rescind, or amend it is pending, or unless he intends to conclude his remarks by making or giving notice of one of these motions."
4. Kevin Schallert
- a. Move to suspend the rules
  - b. Seconded-Douglas Whitesell
  - c. Approved-9-0-0
  - d. Senate did not take action against me last week. I apologize and still believe that my actions at CHESS were wrong. None of you thought I was wrong Wednesday night—nothing was illegal that night.
  - e. Jennifer O'Neal is being unfairly implicated.
  - f. There were two cars that evening. One car had Nick, Taylor and Aaron and the other with Jennifer and me. That night we went to Jennifer's house to celebrate her

birthday with her family. I later went to Taylor's house.  
Jennifer's involvement in this is being unfairly implicated.

- g. I brought up the broad view that we break laws everyday. I used the example of Jay walking. I feel that the code of conduct can lead to a "witch hunt" if it is used improperly. I cannot say that I am not going to drink next year. I will do what I think is responsible and professional. Tonight shows me a drastic change of heart among Senate.
- h. I apologize if my actions have offended you. I will constantly advocate and maintain professionalism.

5. Lauren Pollack

- a. Move to re-establish the rules
- b. Seconded-Katelyn Rauch
- c. I did not refer to CHESS in my statement. My statement was written after we spoke on the phone. That is what I meant by no action was taken; we are here to advocate for students. I recommended that you do something so that we can advocate for students.

6. Erica Roundy

- a. I am disappointed with this conversation. I see people smirking and smiling. This is a serious matter and we need to maintain the utmost respect for each other.
- b. We need a solution.
- c. This issue should have been brought to Kevin first or been sent to the judicial committee within Student Government.
- d. I have heard about the malicious gossip that is occurring. Our issues need to be dealt with within the organization and not spread throughout campus.
- e. We elected Kevin for who he is and because he is an every day man that can relate to students.
- f. We need to find a resolution or table this for next year.

7. Dustin Erickson

- a. We need to stay with the grievance subject. Other items of discussion can be put on the agenda for next week.

8. Douglas Whitesell

- a. I disagree with Erica; there cannot be a just outcome if the matter were referred to a hastily-constituted judiciary.
- b. I think that is the substance of what I said. If people are so outraged then we need to say that displaying that we are far more concerned with this then we need to look at next year.
- c. An ethics investigation is not what I signed up to do.

9. Katelyn Rauch

- a. I agree with Erica. We need to find a resolution and we need to move on from this.
- b. The person leading us should have integrity.
- c. I am outraged with what happened at the grocery store, that is a value lesson. I am outraged with the fact that Kevin said he will not stop drinking.
- d. My statement was not written until after speaking with Kevin.
- e. Before speaking to Kevin about the grocery store incident, I spoke to several advisors about how to handle the situation.
  - i. After my conversation with Kevin, the situation changed and the question has now become:
    - 1. Do we as a governing body knowingly condone illegal activities, specifically underage drinking?

10. Douglas Whitesell

- a. Did you discuss this with a co-advisor?

11. Katelyn Rauch

- a. Yes.

12. Kevin Schallert

- a. Did you know of my actions?

13. Lauren Pollack

- a. Yes. I sought advice, but I did not intend to take any action.

14. Kevin Schallert

- a. Katelyn, was the item on the agenda before or after? Did you know it would be on the agenda before we talked?

15. Katelyn Rauch

a. Yes.

16. Kevin Schallert

a. Everyone had concerns. If that is the case, then you should have talked to that person instead of talking to other people. I heard from several people about what I did on Wednesday night. I heard from everyone except the three of you.

17. Lauren Pollack

a. I felt that this was relevant. This did not address your actions taken but rather the way that you approached it.

18. April Burger

- a. I am not embarrassed that these issues are on our minutes. We need to be transparent.
- b. We are discussing a serious issue: underage drinking
- c. Kevin, you say that you won't ever not drink because that would include the option of you having a glass of wine with your parents?
- d. Since you don't want to be absolute because you want to have the option of having a glass of wine with your parents, would you say that you won't have a drink with someone who is underage, CI students and friends?

19. Kevin Schallert

- a. I will never drink while I am on the duties of my position. I will not drink in the dorms. I will refrain from drinking; this does not imply absoluteness.
- b. For example, my family hosts a Superbowl party with my cousins; I may have a drink with my cousins. I will drink responsibly. I will not be at a party doing a keg stand.
- c. I am a value-oriented person.

20. April Burger

- a. You do need to uphold the standards while you are on duty.
- b. From an RA perspective, I have learned that if someone is caught engaging in underage drinking: there are no second chances. You are always in your student leader role no matter what.

- c. Do you feel that you are always representing Channel Islands and Student Government?

21. Kevin Schallert

- a. Yes, I am always representing CSUCI. Every student has the ability to make us look good or make us look bad. The Presidential position magnifies but there is a difference between the formal duties of the office and being a normal person.
- b. I will always maintain integrity in the office. I consulted with a former student body president, Matthew Hewitt on this issue. Perception is truth sometimes. I am a student because of my position. Being president contains a different standard than a resident assistant.

22. April Burger

- a. The law still applies to you. If you decide to drink underage, keep that private and outside the realm of CSUCI students.
- b. The example with jay walking is not applicable to underage drinking.

23. Kevin Schallert

- a. Both of them should not be a big deal.
- b. Jay walking is an extreme example.
- c. We need to teach people how to be responsible: teach them about not drinking and driving and not drinking in between classes.
- d. I am also committed to being honest and I feel that keeping my drinking private is not being honest to the students. I will always be honest to students about my personal and professional life.

24. Dustin Erickson

- a. If you are underage, then is it drinking responsibly?

25. Kevin Schallert

- a. Yes.
- b. I plan to take action if there are senators that are drinking underage.

26. Dustin Erickson

- a. This is going off of the topic

27. Kevin Schallert
- a. Move to suspend the rules
  - b. Second-Erica Roundy
  - c. Approved 8-1-0
  - d. If a situation of a senator drinking underage came up, I would bring that person into my office and ask them about the situation. I am not here to punish people; I want to give them an opportunity to learn.
  - e. I would have a discussion about the implication and let them know that it is against the law. I would advise that person that their drinking inhibits their ability to lead students and if we can not come to an agreement, I would consult with one of my mentors, a professor or my priest.
28. Dustin Erickson
- a. I need a motion to return to the rules
29. Douglas Whitesell
- a. Move to return to rules
  - b. Second-Katelyn Rauch
  - c. Approved 8-1-0
  - d. I would like to clarify my embarrassment; I feel that this is an important topic to discuss. I am embarrassed at the process which we use to go about this. I feel that we were blind-sided with the issue and we broke the rules.
30. Kevin Schallert
- a. Move to suspend rules
  - b. Second-Douglas Whitesell
  - c. Approved 9-0-0
31. Douglas Whitesell
- a. We need to talk about this and the process of handling this. This should be brought to the IAC.
32. Alexandra Mitchell
- a. I want to clarify that I was unaware of the situation until it was brought up in the meeting. I was unaware of Kevin's' actions before they were on the agenda.
  - b. I do not approve of behavior like this.

- c. I could not let this go unaddressed for another week. I apologize if this surprised everyone but I did not want the behavior to continue.
- d. I did not know that Kevin drank prior to all of this.

33. Sandra Perez

- a. No one is perfect and I think we are asking too much of Kevin. I think this is being taken way too far. I feel like I am personally being attacked.

34. Alexandra Mitchell

- a. In July of last year, you all signed the code of conduct. I know that this is a forgiving and merciful group and many of you have been given second chances. But, you knew from the beginning that this is the law. According to the student code of conduct, all students are to uphold the law.
- b. We need to remember that we have the credibility that we have because of the standards we uphold. We have respect with President Rush and we have respect with CSSA. We represent students and we represent them well.
- c. The standard that is set forth may not be our own standards but it's what the administration of the University holds us to and we need to maintain that. This is what keeps us credible.

35. Kevin Schallert

- a. We need to maintain credibility with President Rush and with CSSA—if this was something that I could not do then I would resign.
- b. The democratic process elected me. I think we need to be weary of the implications of this discussion
- c. Something that was a non issue became an issue. We need to be mindful of how we carry ourselves and the possible impacts.
- d. Moving on from attacks on integrity is difficult to move forward from.

- e. I want to be accessible and if a student ever wants to discuss an issue with me, here is my personal cell phone number: (661) 714-6900

36. Dustin Erickson

- a. It is not the intent of Senate to impede the ability to advocate.

37. Kevin Schallert

- a. I do not think that it is. I think that this is about implication. We can address those in question.

38. Douglas Whitesell

- a. Move to return to rules
- b. Second-Kevin Schallert
- c. Approved 9-0-0

39. Dustin Erickson

- a. Action Item D has been removed from the agenda per Alexandra.

10) Unfinished Business

11) New Business

12) Adjournment

- a. Meeting adjourned at 8:27 p.m.